

Nearly Pentadiagonal Linear Systems

A. A.KARAWIA* and S.A. El-Shehawy†

Qassim University, PYP, Buraidah, 51452, Saudi Arabia
Qassim University, College of Science, Department of Mathematics, P.O. Box 237,
Buriedah 51452, Saudi Arabia

Abstract

In this paper we present efficient computational and symbolic algorithms for solving a nearly pentadiagonal linear systems. The implementation of the algorithms using Computer Algebra Systems (CAS) such as MAPLE, MACSYMA, MATHEMATICA, and MATLAB is straightforward. Two examples are given in order to illustrate the algorithms.

Keywords: pentadiagonal matrices; nearly pentadiagonal matrices; linear systems; determinants; computer algebra systems (CAS).

AMS Subject Classification: 15A15; 15A23; 68W30; 11Y05; 33F10; F.2.1; G.1.0.

1 Introduction

Many problems in mathematics and applied science require the solution of linear systems having nearly pentadiagonal coefficient matrices. This kind of linear system arises in many fields of numerical computation and differential Equations [1, 2,3]. This article is a general case of the author article [3].

The main goal of the current paper is to develop an efficient algorithms for solving a general nearly pentadiagonal linear systems of the form:

$$AX = Y \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & a_1 & \tilde{a}_1 & s & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ b_2 & d_2 & a_2 & \tilde{a}_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \tilde{b}_3 & b_3 & d_3 & a_3 & \tilde{a}_3 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & \tilde{b}_4 & b_4 & d_4 & a_4 & \tilde{a}_4 & 0 & \dots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \dots & \dots & 0 & \tilde{b}_{n-2} & b_{n-2} & d_{n-2} & a_{n-2} & \tilde{a}_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 & \tilde{b}_{n-1} & b_{n-1} & d_{n-1} & a_{n-1} \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 & t & \tilde{b}_n & b_n & d_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad (1.2)$$

$X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T$, $Y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)^T$ and $n \geq 5$.

A general $n \times n$ nearly pentadiagonal matrix A of the form (1.2) can be stored in $5n-4$ memory locations by

*Home Address: Mansoura University, Mansoura 35516, Egypt. E-mail: abibka@mans.edu.eg

†Home Address: Menoufia University, Shebin El-Kom, Egypt. E-mail addresses: shshehawy64@yahoo.com

using five vectors $\tilde{\mathbf{a}} = (\tilde{a}_1, \tilde{a}_2, \dots, \tilde{a}_{n-2})$, $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}, s)$, $\mathbf{b} = (t, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_n)$, $\tilde{\mathbf{b}} = (\tilde{b}_3, \tilde{b}_4, \dots, \tilde{b}_n)$, and $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$. When considering the system (1.1) it is advantageous to introduce three additional vectors $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n)$, $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n)$ and $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots, f_n)$. These vectors are related to the vectors $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}$, $\mathbf{a}$, $\mathbf{d}$, $\mathbf{b}$, and $\tilde{\mathbf{b}}$.

The current paper is organized as follows. In section 2, the main results are given. Illustrative examples are presented in section 3. In section 4, a conclusion is given.

2 Main results

In this section we are going to formulate a new computational and symbolic algorithms for solving a general nearly pentadiagonal linear systems of the form (1.1). To do this, we begin by considering the LU decomposition [4] of the matrix A in the form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} d_1 & a_1 & \tilde{a}_1 & s & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ b_2 & d_2 & a_2 & \tilde{a}_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \tilde{b}_3 & b_3 & d_3 & a_3 & \tilde{a}_3 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & \tilde{b}_4 & b_4 & d_4 & a_4 & \tilde{a}_4 & 0 & \dots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \dots & \dots & 0 & \tilde{b}_{n-2} & b_{n-2} & d_{n-2} & a_{n-2} & \tilde{a}_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 & \tilde{b}_{n-1} & b_{n-1} & d_{n-1} & a_{n-1} \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 & t & \tilde{b}_n & b_n & d_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ f_2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \frac{\tilde{b}_3}{c_1} & f_3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & \frac{\tilde{b}_4}{c_2} & f_4 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \dots & \dots & 0 & \frac{\tilde{b}_{n-2}}{c_{n-4}} & f_{n-2} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 & \frac{\tilde{b}_{n-1}}{c_{n-3}} & f_{n-1} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 & \frac{t}{c_{n-3}} & f_1 & f_n & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_1 & e_1 & \tilde{a}_1 & s & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & c_2 & e_2 & e_n & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c_3 & e_3 & \tilde{a}_3 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & c_4 & e_4 & \tilde{a}_4 & 0 & \dots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & c_{n-2} & e_{n-2} & \tilde{a}_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & c_{n-1} & e_{n-1} \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & c_n \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.1)$$

From (2.1) we obtain

$$c_i = \begin{cases} d_1 & \text{if } i = 1 \\ d_2 - f_2 e_1 & \text{if } i = 2 \\ d_i - f_i e_{i-1} - \frac{\tilde{b}_i}{c_{i-2}} \tilde{a}_{i-2}, \tilde{a}_2 = e_n & \text{if } i = 3(1)n - 1 \\ d_n - f_1 \tilde{a}_{n-2} - f_n e_{n-1} & \text{if } i = n, \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$e_i = \begin{cases} a_1 & \text{if } i = 1 \\ a_2 - f_2 \tilde{a}_1 & \text{if } i = 2 \\ \tilde{a}_2 - f_2 a_n & \text{if } i = n \\ a_3 - \frac{\tilde{b}_3}{c_1} a_n - f_3 e_n & \text{if } i = 3 \\ a_i - f_i \tilde{a}_{i-1} & \text{if } i = 4(1)n - 1, \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$f_i = \begin{cases} (\tilde{b}_n - \frac{t}{c_{n-3}}e_{n-3})/c_{n-2} & \text{if } i = 1 \\ \frac{b_2}{c_1} & \text{if } i = 2 \\ (b_i - \frac{\tilde{b}_i}{c_{i-2}})/c_{i-1} & \text{if } i = 3(1)n-1 \\ (b_n - \frac{t}{c_{n-3}}\tilde{a}_{n-3} - f_1e_{n-2})/c_{n-1} & \text{if } i = n. \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

It is not difficult to prove that the LU decomposition (2.1) exists only if $c_i \neq 0$, $i = 1(1)n-1$. Moreover, a general nearly pentadiagonal linear system (1.1) possesses a unique solution if, in addition, $c_n \neq 0$. On the other hand, the determinant of the matrix A is given by:

$$\det(A) = \prod_{i=1}^n c_i, \quad (2.5)$$

and this shows the importance of the vector $\mathbf{c}$ [5].

We may now formulate the following results.

Algorithm 2.1 To solve the general nearly pentadiagonal linear system (1.1), we may proceed as follows:

step 1: Set $c_1 = d_1$.

step 2: If $c_1 = 0$, then OUTPUT('the method is fails'); STOP.

step 3: Set $a_n = s$, $b_1 = t$, $e_1 = a_1$, $f_2 = \frac{b_2}{c_1}$, and $c_2 = d_2 - f_2e_1$.

step 4: If $c_2 = 0$, then OUTPUT('the method is fails'); STOP.

step 5: Set $e_2 = a_2 - f_2\tilde{a}_1$, $e_n = \tilde{a}_2 - f_2a_n$, and $\tilde{a}_2 = e_n$.

step 6: For $i = 3, 4, \dots, n-1$ Compute

$$f_i = (b_i - \frac{\tilde{b}_i}{c_{i-2}}e_{i-2})/c_{i-1},$$

$$c_i = d_i - f_ie_{i-1} - \frac{\tilde{b}_i}{c_{i-2}}\tilde{a}_{i-2},$$

If $c_i = 0$, then OUTPUT('the method is fails'); STOP,

If $i = 3$, then $e_i = a_i - f_i\tilde{a}_{i-1} - \frac{\tilde{b}_i}{c_{i-2}}a_n$ else $e_i = a_i - f_i\tilde{a}_{i-1}$.

step 7: Compute

$$f_1 = (\tilde{b}_n - \frac{b_1}{c_{n-3}}e_{n-3})/c_{n-2},$$

$$f_n = (b_n - \frac{t}{c_{n-3}}\tilde{a}_{n-3} - f_1e_{n-2})/c_{n-1},$$

$$c_n = d_n - f_1\tilde{a}_{n-2} - f_ne_{n-1},$$

step 8: Set $z_1 = y_1$, $z_2 = y_2 - f_2z_1$.

step 9: For $i = 3, 4, \dots, n-1$ Compute

$$z_i = y_i - f_iz_{i-1} - \frac{\tilde{b}_i}{c_{i-2}}z_{i-2}.$$

step 10: Set $z_n = y_n - f_nz_{n-1} - f_1z_{n-2} - \frac{t}{c_{n-3}}z_{n-3}$.

step 11: Compute the solution vector $\mathbf{x}$ using

$$x_n = \frac{z_n}{c_n}, \quad x_{n-1} = \frac{z_{n-1} - e_{n-1}x_n}{c_{n-1}},$$

For $i = n-2, n-3, \dots, 2$ compute

$$x_i = \frac{z_i - e_ix_{i+1} - \tilde{a}_ix_{i+2}}{c_i},$$

$$\text{Set } x_1 = \frac{z_1 - e_1x_2 - \tilde{a}_1x_3 - sx_4}{c_1}.$$

The new algorithm 2.1 will be referred to as **KNPENTA** algorithm. **KNPENTA** algorithm for solving the nearly pentadiagonal system (1.1) is generally preferable because the conditions $c_i \neq 0$, $i = 1(1)n$ are sufficient for its validity. The advantage of the vector $\mathbf{c}$ is now clear.

The following symbolic algorithm is developed in order to remove the cases where the numeric algorithm **KNPENTA** fails.

Algorithm 2.2 To solve the general nearly pentadiagonal linear system (1.1), we may proceed as follows:

step 1: Set $c_1 = d_1$.

step 2: Set $c_1 = x$ and $d_1 = x$ (x is just a symbolic name) whenever $c_1 = 0$.

step 3: Set $a_n = s$, $b_1 = t$, $e_1 = a_1$, $f_2 = \frac{b_2}{c_1}$, and $c_2 = d_2 - f_2e_1$.

step 4: Set $c_2 = x$ whenever $c_2 = 0$.

step 5: Set $e_2 = a_2 - f_2\tilde{a}_1$, $e_n = \tilde{a}_2 - f_2a_n$, and $\tilde{a}_2 = e_n$.

step 6: For $i = 3, 4, \dots, n-1$ Compute

$$f_i = (b_i - \frac{\tilde{b}_i}{c_{i-2}}e_{i-2})/c_{i-1},$$

$$c_i = d_i - f_ie_{i-1} - \frac{\tilde{b}_i}{c_{i-2}}\tilde{a}_{i-2},$$

Set $c_i = x$ whenever $c_i = 0$,

If $i = 3$, then $e_i = a_i - f_i\tilde{a}_{i-1} - \frac{\tilde{b}_i}{c_{i-2}}a_n$ else $e_i = a_i - f_i\tilde{a}_{i-1}$.

step 7: Compute

$$f_1 = (\tilde{b}_n - \frac{b_1}{c_{n-3}}e_{n-3})/c_{n-2},$$

$$f_n = (b_n - \frac{t}{c_{n-3}}\tilde{a}_{n-3} - f_1e_{n-2})/c_{n-1},$$

$$c_n = d_n - f_1\tilde{a}_{n-2} - f_ne_{n-1},$$

Set $c_n = x$ whenever $c_n = 0$.

step 8: Set $z_1 = y_1$, $z_2 = y_2 - f_2z_1$.

step 9: For $i = 3, 4, \dots, n-1$ Compute

$$z_i = y_i - f_iz_{i-1} - \frac{\tilde{b}_i}{c_{i-2}}z_{i-2}.$$

step 10: Set $z_n = y_n - f_nz_{n-1} - f_1z_{n-2} - \frac{t}{c_{n-3}}z_{n-3}$.

step 11: Compute the solution vector $\mathbf{x}$ using

$$x_n = \frac{z_n}{c_n}, x_{n-1} = \frac{z_{n-1} - e_{n-1}x_n}{c_{n-1}},$$

For $i = n-2, n-3, \dots, 2$ compute

$$x_i = \frac{z_i - e_ix_{i+1} - \tilde{a}_ix_{i+2}}{c_i},$$

$$\text{Set } x_1 = \frac{z_1 - e_1x_2 - \tilde{a}_1x_3 - sx_4}{c_1}.$$

step 12: Substitute $x = 0$ in all expressions of the solution vector $x_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

The symbolic algorithm 2.2 will be referred to as **KSNPENTA** algorithm.

In [6], Claerbout showed that the two-dimensional Laplacian operator, which appears in 3-D finite-difference migration, has the form of pentadiagonal matrix. If we choose $d_i = -4$, $i = 1(1)n$, $s = t = 0$ and $a_i = b_i = \tilde{a}_i = \tilde{b}_i = 1 \quad \forall i$, we can obtain it.

3 Illustrative Examples

In this section we are going to give illustrative examples

Example 3.1. Solve the nearly pentadiagonal linear system of size 10 given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 & 3 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & -4 & 5 & 5 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & -2 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 & 1 & 2 & 5 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & -3 & 2 & 7 & -5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -8 & 1 & 12 & 3 & -4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 5 & 3 & 1 & 20 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 11 & 21 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 4 & -9 & 31 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \\ x_5 \\ x_6 \\ x_7 \\ x_8 \\ x_9 \\ x_{10} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 30 \\ 13 \\ 35 \\ 27 \\ 69 \\ 18 \\ 38 \\ 280 \\ 328 \\ 247 \end{bmatrix} \quad (3.1)$$

by using the **KNPENTA** algorithm and **KSNPENTA** algorithm.

Solution

(i) The application of the **KNPENTA** algorithm gives:

- $c_1 = 3$ (Step 1).

- $a_n = 5, b_1 = -2, e_1 = -1, f_2 = \frac{-2}{3}$, and $c_2 = \frac{4}{3}$ (Step 3).
- $e_2 = 3, e_n = \frac{16}{3}$ and $\tilde{a}_2 = \frac{16}{3}$ (Step 5).
- $[f_3, f_4, f_5, f_6, f_7, f_8, f_9] = [\frac{-9}{4}, -1, \frac{-253}{35}, \frac{-105}{184}, \frac{4012}{2271}, \frac{-368}{101}, \frac{204567}{186433}]$,
 $[c_3, c_4, c_5, c_6, c_7, c_8, c_9] = [\frac{35}{4}, 1, \frac{552}{35}, \frac{757}{92}, \frac{-1313}{1514}, \frac{14341}{303}, \frac{4112262}{186433}]$ and
 $[e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7, e_8, e_9] = [12, 2, \frac{934}{35}, \frac{1393}{184}, \frac{26873}{2271}, \frac{1371}{101}, \frac{-3532041}{186433}]$ (Step 6).
- $f_1 = \frac{-91736}{186433}, f_n = \frac{-1203361}{4112262}$ and $c_n = \frac{701215}{19866}$ (Step 7).
- $[z_1, z_2] = [30, 33]$ (Step 8).
- $[z_3, z_4, z_5, z_6, z_7, z_8, z_9] = [\frac{317}{4}, 32, \frac{8609}{35}, \frac{11475}{184}, \frac{238883}{4542}, \frac{138311}{303}, \frac{129996}{14341}]$ (Step 9).
- $z_1 0 = \frac{3506075}{9933}$ (Step 10).
- $[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8, x_9, x_{10}] = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]$ (Step 11).

Also the determinant of the matrix A is $\det(A) = -145151505$ by using (2.5).

(ii) The application of the **KSNPENTA** algorithm gives:

$$X := \text{nearly_penta}(\tilde{b}, b, d, a, \tilde{a}, y) = [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10].$$

Example 3.2. Solve the nearly pentadiagonal linear system of size 10 given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 3 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & -4 & 5 & 5 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & -2 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 & 1 & 2 & 5 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & -3 & 2 & 7 & -5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -8 & 1 & 12 & 3 & -4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 5 & 3 & 1 & 20 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 11 & 21 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 & 4 & -9 & 31 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \\ x_5 \\ x_6 \\ x_7 \\ x_8 \\ x_9 \\ x_{10} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 27 \\ 13 \\ 35 \\ 27 \\ 69 \\ 18 \\ 38 \\ 280 \\ 328 \\ 247 \end{bmatrix} \quad (3.2)$$

by using the **KNPENTA** algorithm and **KSNPENTA** algorithm.

Solution

(i) The application of the **KNPENTA** algorithm gives:

The method is broken down since $c_1 = d_1 = 0$.

(ii) The application of the **KSBPENTA** algorithm gives:

$$\begin{aligned} X := \text{nearly_penta}(\tilde{b}, b, d, a, \tilde{a}, y) = & \left[\frac{-4092987}{4589918x-4092987}, 3 \frac{1490963x-2728658}{4589918x-4092987}, \frac{11371544x-12278961}{4589918x-4092987}, \right. \\ & 6 \frac{3279301x-2728658}{4589918x-4092987}, 3 \frac{7767312x-6821645}{4589918x-4092987}, \frac{1}{3} \frac{90274465x-73673766}{4589918x-4092987}, \\ & \frac{1}{3} \frac{95213875x-85952727}{4589918x-4092987}, \frac{1}{5} \frac{188851771x-163719480}{4589918x-4092987}, \\ & \left. \frac{1}{15} \frac{612846296x-552553245}{4589918x-4092987}, \frac{2}{15} \frac{342051296x-306974025}{4589918x-4092987} \right] x=0 \\ = & [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. \end{aligned}$$

Also the determinant of the matrix A is $\det(A) = 61394805$ and for more details about how to call this procedure, see appendix 1.

4 Conclusion

The methods described here are very effective, provided that optimal LU factorization is used. Our symbolic algorithm is competitive with the other methods for solving a nearly pentadiagonal linear system which appears in many applications.

5 Acknowledgement

I like to thank Prof. Dr. M. E. A. El-Mikkawy for his valuable comments and suggestions.

Appendix 1. A Maple procedure for solving a nearly pentadiagonal linear system

```
> # A Maple Procedure.
> # Written by Dr. A. A. Karawia 18-6-2008.
> # To compute the solution of A general nearly pentadiagonal Linear system.
> restart:
> with(linalg,vector,vectdim):
> nearly_penta:=proc(bb::vector,b::vector, d::vector,a::vector,aa::vector,y::vector)
local i,j,k,n; global T,e,c,f,z,X;
n:=vectdim(d):e:=array(1..n):c:=array(1..n): f:=array(1..n):z:=array(1..n):X:=array(1..n):
#components of the vectors e, c, and f #
c[1]:=d[1]:if c[1]=0 then c[1]:=x; d[1]:=x;fi: e[1]:=a[1]:f[2]:=simplify(b[2]/c[1]):
c[2]:=simplify(d[2]-e[1]*f[2]):if c[2]=0 then c[2]:=x;fi:
e[2]:=simplify(a[2]-f[2]*aa[1]):e[n]:=simplify(aa[2]-f[2]*a[n]): aa[2]:=e[n]:
  for i from 3 to n-1 do
    f[i]:=simplify((b[i]-bb[i]*e[i-2]/c[i-2])/c[i-1]):
    if i=3 then e[i]:=simplify(a[i]-f[i]*aa[i-1]-bb[i]*a[n]/c[i-2]): else e[i]:=simplify(a[i]-f[i]*aa[i-1]):
    fi:
    c[i]:=simplify(d[i]-bb[i]*aa[i-2]/c[i-2]-e[i-1]*f[i]): if c[i]=0 then c[i]:=x; fi:
  end do:
f[1]:=simplify((bb[n]-b[1]*e[n-3]/c[n-3])/c[n-2]):
f[n]:=simplify((b[n]-b[1]*aa[n-3]/c[n-3]-f[1]*e[n-2])/c[n-1]):
c[n]:=simplify(d[n]-f[1]*aa[n-2]-e[n-1]*f[n]):if c[n]=0 then c[n]:=x; fi:
# To compute the vector Z #
z[1]:=y[1]:z[2]:=y[2]-f[2]*z[1]:i:=1:
  for i from 3 to n-1 do
    z[i]:=simplify(y[i]-bb[i]*z[i-2]/c[i-2]-f[i]*z[i-1]):
  end do:
z[n]:=simplify(y[n]-f[n]*z[n-1]-f[1]*z[n-2]-b[1]*z[n-3]/c[n-3]):
# To compute the Solution of the system X. #
X[n]:=z[n]/c[n]:i:=1:
X[n-1]:=simplify((z[n-1]-e[n-1]*X[n])/c[n-1]):
  for i from n-2 by -1 to 2 do
    X[i]:=simplify((z[i]-e[i]*X[i+1]-aa[i]*X[i+2])/c[i]):
  end do:
X[1]:=simplify((z[1]-e[1]*X[2]-aa[1]*X[3]-a[n]*X[4])/c[1]):
# To compute the determinant T #
T:=subs(x=0,simplify(product(c[r],r=1..n)));
eval(X):
end:
> # Call no. 1 for the procedure nearly_penta. #
> x:='x':
> aa:=aa:=vector([3,2,1,3,1,-5,-4,20]);
```

```

aa := [3, 2, 1, 3, 1, -5, -4, 20]
>a:=vector([-1,1,5,1,5,7,3,1,3,5]);
a:=[-1,1,5,1,5,7,3,1,3,5]
> d:=vector([3,2,5,1,2,2,12,3,21,31]);
d:=[3,2,5,1,2,2,12,3,21,31]
> b:=vector([-2,-2,-4,-2,1,-3,1,5,11,-9]);
b:=[-2,-2,-4,-2,1,-3,1,5,11,-9]
> bb:=vector([0,0,3,3,6,3,-8,2,3,4]);
bb:=[0,0,3,3,6,3,-8,2,3,4]
> y:=vector([30,13,35,27,69,18,38,280,328,247]);
y:=[30,13,35,27,69,18,38,280,328,247]
> X:=nearly_penta(bb,b,d,a,aa,y);
X := [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]
> T;
-145151505
> # End of call no. 1. #

> # Call no. 2 for the procedure nearly_penta. #
> x:='x':
> aa:=vector([3,2,1,3,1,-5,-4,20]);
aa := [3, 2, 1, 3, 1, -5, -4, 20]
>a:=vector([-1,1,5,1,5,7,3,1,3,5]);
a:=[-1,1,5,1,5,7,3,1,3,5]
> d:=vector([0,2,5,1,2,2,12,3,21,31]);
d:=[0,2,5,1,2,2,12,3,21,31]
> b:=vector([-2,-2,-4,-2,1,-3,1,5,11,-9]);
b:=[-2,-2,-4,-2,1,-3,1,5,11,-9]
> bb:=vector([0,0,3,3,6,3,-8,2,3,4]);
bb:=[0,0,3,3,6,3,-8,2,3,4]
> y:=vector([27,13,35,27,69,18,38,280,328,247]);
y:=[27,13,35,27,69,18,38,280,328,247]
> X:=nearly_penta(bb,b,d,a,aa,y);
X := [ $\frac{-4092987}{4589918x-4092987}$ ,  $3 \frac{1490963x-2728658}{4589918x-4092987}$ ,  $\frac{11371544x-12278961}{4589918x-4092987}$ ,  $6 \frac{3279301x-2728658}{4589918x-4092987}$ ,  $3 \frac{7767312x-6821645}{4589918x-4092987}$ ,
 $\frac{1}{3} \frac{90274465x-73673766}{4589918x-4092987}$ ,  $\frac{1}{3} \frac{95213875x-85952727}{4589918x-4092987}$ ,  $\frac{1}{5} \frac{188851771x-163719480}{4589918x-4092987}$ ,  $\frac{1}{15} \frac{612846296x-552553245}{4589918x-4092987}$ ,
 $\frac{2}{15} \frac{342051296x-306974025}{4589918x-4092987}$ ]
> T;
61394805
> x:=0:X:=map(eval,op(X));
X := [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]
> # End of call no. 2. #

```

References

- [1] W. Cheney, D Kincaid, Numerical Mathematics and Computing(Second Edition), Wadsworth, Inc., 1985.
- [2] H. L. Stone, Iterative Solution of Impilicet Approximations of Multidimensional Partial Differential equations, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., Vol. 5, No. 3(1968), pp. 530-558.
- [3] R. J. LeVeque, Finite Difference Methods for Differential Equations, University of Washington, 2006.
<http://www.imsc.res.in/~rjoy/WWW/research/numerics/assets/am585winter06.pdf>
- [4] M. B. Allen III, E. L. Isaacson, Numerical Analysis for Applied Science, Wiley-Interscience, John Wiley & Sons, 1997.
- [5] M. E. A. El-Mikkawy, A Fast Algorithm for Evaluating nth Order tridiagonal Determinants, J. Comput. Appl. Math., Vol. 166(2004), pp. 581-584.
- [6] J. F. Claerbout, Imaging the earths interior, Blackwell Scientific Publications, 1985.